



UNITED STATES DENARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/161.1	38 09/25/9	98 EGELHOF		D	P/14-385
	002352 IM31/0104 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS		٦	EXAMINER HASTINGS, K	
	NY 10036-84			ART UNIT 1731	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

01/04/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	·
Office Action Summary	Application No. ON16/138 Applicant(s) Egelhof etal
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Response	· ·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa	a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	25.50
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25-98
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
9K(Claim(s) 31-38	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s) 3 / - 3 8	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 	the priority documents have been
$\hfill \square$ received in this national stage application from the Inte	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	8
Office	Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1731

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,389,206; 5,500,091; 5,718,805; and 5,853,544. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are merely of slightly differing scope; for example, use of vacuum or not for the third section stationary drainage element would have been within the ordinary level of skill in the art as is the use of a suction roll for separating the twin wires (in the third section).

Claims 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 31 line 33 insert --then--before "across" to be clear about the location of the suction roll. Likewise in claim 35, line 33 insert -- then before "across" and in claim 37 line 43 change "and" to --followed by --.

Claim 33 is incomplete in failing to recite at least one <u>stationary</u> drainage element in the third section. Correction is necessary.

Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A third section with a stationary drainage element is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). (The original specification and Jepson format of the claims clearly included a third section with a stationary dewatering element as an essential feature of the invention. Every figure shows section I, II, and III, and every section III includes a stationary dewatering element. Thus please amend claim 33 line 44 after "including" by inserting -- at least one stationary dewatering element followed -- before "a suction roll". Note in all instances, the suction roll 20 is in addition to stationary

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Hastings whose telephone number is (703) 308-0470.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Dobriate 112 rejections, clavins 31-38 world be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 08/161,138

Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stan Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3857. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Hastings/vr

12-23-98

KAREN M. HASTINGS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP #300

12-98